

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. FILIN	G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/833,453	04/1	2/2001	Evelyn Jennifer Lin Paulsen	174PUS06106	3297
23543	7590	05/06/2004	EXAMINER		INER
		CHEMICALS	YAO, SAMCHUAN CUA		
PATENT DEPARTMENT 7201 HAMILTON BOULEVARD				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	WN, PA 181			1733	
			DATE MAILED: 05/06/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/833,453	PAULSEN ET AL.
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit
	Sam Chuan C. Yao	1733
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 26 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (foondition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appetexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	rvoid abandonment of this applic 1) a timely filed amendment whi al (with appeal fee); or (3) a time	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this Adverse, the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, the period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th ian SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The datave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most parent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in	efee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF 		
2. $igspace$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:	
(a) $oxed{oxed}$ they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);
(b) \square they raise the issue of new matter (see Note I		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	I be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		sidered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	:	
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-12</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	<u> </u>
10.⊠ Other: attached is a copy of form 1449 signed by Exa	<u>miner</u>	San Chellas
		Sam Chuan C. Yao Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1733

Application No.

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 009/833,453

Continuation of 2. NOTE: the added limitations to claim 1 raise new issues which would require further consideration and/search.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Counsel's arguments are NOT commensurate with the scope of the recited claims, since the amendment after final was not entered. Note that, the residual diisocyanate illustrated in Table 1 is no greater than 0.6 wt%, while claim 1 as presently recited requires less than 2 wt%. There is no showing that, the alleged unexpected result would work in a range between .6-2 wt% of residual diisocyanate.